

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,693	02/25/2004	Andreas Maurer	1-16513	3690	
1678 MARSHALL &	7590 03/02/200 & MELHORN	7	EXAMINER		
FOUR SEAGA	TE, EIGHT FLOOR		WYROZEBSKI LEE, KATARZYNA I		
TOLEDO, OH 43604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-		1714		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	03/02/2007	PAPER		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/786,693	MAURER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Feb. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CI	· ·
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) △ All b) △ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	
	tion Summary Par	t of Paper No./Mail Da	ate 20070226

Application/Control Number: 10/786,693 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

In view of applicant's response to the office action dated 2/6/2007 and updated search report following office action is second non-final. Cancellation of claims 1-27, 32 and 50 is noted.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant's amendment to claim 41 introduced new matter. Specification only enables drying temperatures of 50°C (original claim and specification page 7) and 100°C (specification page 14.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,693 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 28-31, 34-43, 45-48 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BROYDE (US 4,071,479) in view of MENDIRATTA (US 4,668,768) in view of evidence given in JP03265641 (Abstract).

The discussion of BROYDE and MENDIRATA from paragraph 6 of the office action mailed on 11/6/2006 is incorporated here by reference.

In the office action dated 11/6/2006 the examiner indicated allowable subject matter in claims 32 and 46 reciting halogenated flame retardants as additives. The Japanese disclosure is here by utilized as evidence that halogenated flame retardants are considered as conventional additives in PVC applications in molding compositions such as wire insulations. Since prior art of BROYDE discloses recycling of PVC cable insulation halogenated flame retardants would have been considered as conventional additives. Another disclosure that contains such teaching is US 2003/0181563. In view of the above halogenated flame retardants are encompassed as additives in the disclosure of BROYDE.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,693

Art Unit: 1714

5. Claims 33, 49, 51, 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over BROYDE (US 4,071,479) in view of MENDIRATTA (US 4,668,768) as applied to claims 28-31, 34-43, 45, 47, 48 above, and further in view of GROVER (US 3,256,212).

The discussion of BROYDE, MENDIRATA and GROVER from paragraph 7 of the office action mailed on 11/6/2006 is incorporated here by reference.

The examiner of record apologizes for any inconvenience regarding the rejections over the prior art of record. Applicant's arguments are therefore considered moot since the rejections have been re-stated.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katarzyna Wyrozebski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1127. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,693 Page 5

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Katarzyna Wyrozebski

Phimary Examiner

February 26, 2007